

Greenville, SC 29603-0827

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER NUMBER

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 01/29/2004 5424 10/767,669 Heinrich Lang LMX-129 CON **EXAMINER** 7590 10/06/2006 McNair Law Firm, P.A. SHAFER, RICKY D P.O. Box 10827

ART UNIT 2872

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				_1/
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	, ————————————————————————————————————
		10/767,669	LANG ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Drew A. Dunn Far Right Sifefel	2872	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this common (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_··		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 10-23 is/are pending in the application	n.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 10-23 is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Applicati	ion Papers ′			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO	-152.
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority document			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	• •		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	a in this National St	age
* 9	application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ed.	
•	see the attached actaned office action for a list	or the certified copies not reserve	u.	
Attachmen	• •		(772.440)	
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

DETAILED OFFICE ACTION

Status of Application

Applicant's Petition on April 11, 2005, filed under 35 C.F.R. § 1.144, which argues that the restriction of February 4, 2004 is improper, has been treated as Request for Reconsideration. As a first note, it appears that applicant's petition has a typographical error and the action in question is actually dated February 4, 2005. As such, the arguments have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the final office and concurrent restriction dated February 4, 2005 have been withdrawn.

The status of the case stands that claims 10-23 are pending and are currently amended.

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Further, the disclosed terminology fails to be uniformly consistent within the specification and needs to be corrected.

Correction of the following is required for at least the following elements:

Clamping bracket (12), holder tube (10), mirror housing frame (4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, 2d ¶

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 10 – 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Specifically, the claims and specification are replete with various non-uniform terms that appears to be contradictory in scope. For instance, several of the elements in independent claim 10 cannot be found in the disclosure nor in independent claim 17. Further, several of the elements in claim 17 cannot be found in the disclosure nor in claim 10. As such, the previous office action restricted these claims as being distinct. In light of a phone conversation with applicant's representative, it appears these claims have several typographical errors as well as omissions that, with respect to each other and the disclosure, render these claims indefinite as to the metes and bounds of their scope.

Further, the remaining claims, 11-16 and 18-23, depend from independent claims 10 and 17 and therefore inherent the aforementioned deficiencies.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 10/767,669 Page 4

Art Unit: 2872

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ricky D. Shafer whose telephone number is 571-272-2320.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn can be reached on 571-272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RDS

700

September **2**8, 2006

ROS

DREW A. DUNN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER